

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,453	09/26/2006	Kouichi Kitahata	1422-0722PUS1	5708
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 12/22/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747		ZIMMER, A	ZIMMER, ANTHONY J	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/588,453	KITAHATA ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1736				

	ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFR 1.13 of the state of the	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2010.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	TOTT COTISIDET AUDIT.						
6) Claim(s) 8-11.13 and 14 is/are rejected.							
·= ···— ·							
7) Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.	-14						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsporson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-942)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP'086 (JP2001-179086). All references are to the provided machine translation.

In regard to claims 8 and 9, JP'086 teaches using silica in a recording sheet (which is at least a composition containing a synthetic resin (at least because it contains PVA [0017], [0030], [0038], [0045], a moisture controlled material as it is subjected to a drying process [0033]). The silica has the following properties:

Pore size: 10-35 nm (Example 1 = 15 nm)

Particle size: 0.02-10 microns (Example 1 = 6.7 microns)

Surface area: $400-1400 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (Example $1 = 530 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$)

Pore volume: 1-4 cc/g (Example 1 = 1.13 cc/g)

d peak value of 14.7 nm in Example 1 (no other peak is mentioned)

Though the hexagonal pore structure is not mentioned (and other properties required by claims 10-11), the known properties of the silica in JP'086 are the same as that claimed and thus other properties must also be the same. Further, the method of making the product of JP'086 is the same as that described in the instant application as producing the desired product. In particular, JP'086 teaches a template process as is described in the instant application. See and compare [0009]-[0013] and the Examples of JP'086 with [0084]-[0098] of the instant specification. See also MPEP 2112.01.

In regard to claim 13, JP'086 teaches a content of 10% or more (10~100%). See [0017].

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'086.

In regard to claim 14, JP'086 teaches 10% or more. See [0017].

Overlapping ranges are *prima facie* obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but as explained in the office action of 7/20/2010, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the reference (JP'086) does not teach the claimed materials. However, as explained in the rejection above and pointed out in the rejection of 7/20/2010, JP'086 teaches at least a composition containing a synthetic resin. Further, as pointed out in the rejection above, JP'086 also describes a moisture-controlled material.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. ZIMMER whose telephone number is (571)270-3591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ajz

/Anthony J Zimmer/ Examiner, Art Unit 1736

/Stanley Silverman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1736